

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/042,625	01/09/2002	Francis Ambrose Broderick	FR920000074US1	4352	
45092 HOFFMAN V	7590 04/16/200 VARNICK & D'ALESS	EXAM	EXAMINER		
75 STATE ST			DESHPANDE, KALYAN K		
14TH FLOOR ALBANY, NY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,		3625			
			WAY DATE	NET THE DAY YOUR	
			MAIL DATE 04/16/2008	DELIVERY MODE	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/042,625	BRODERICK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kalyan K. Deshpande	3625	

	Kalyan K. Deshpande	3625						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 27 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following re application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Au no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (1).	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exhunder 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any pely received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complete.	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con 			cause					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		L below),						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•						
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [be entered and an ex	planation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ided below or appended.							
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. X The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but								
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sumcient reasons why the amdavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing an entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:								
/ loffroy A Smith/								

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3625

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The Affidavit filed on March 27, 2008 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Ruffin reference. Affidavits or declarations under 37 CFR 1.131 must be timely presented in order to be admitted. Affidavits and declarations submitted under 37 CFR 1.131 and other evidence traversing rejections are considered timely if submitted:

- before appeal in an application not having a final rejection;
- (C) after final rejection, but before or on the same date of filing an appeal, upon a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116(e); or
- (D) after the prosecution is closed (e.g., after a final rejection, after appeal, or after allowance) if applicant files the affidavit or other evidence with a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 in a utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995; or a continued prosecution application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) in a design application.

Applicants are for the first time presenting this evidence and therefore the affidavit is not considered to be presented seasonable. As such, the affidavit will not be entered. Therefore, Examiner maintains all previously submitted rejections.